UTRECHT & PHILLIPS, PLLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1900 M STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

(202) 778-4000 FACSIMILE (202) 842-5825 www.up-law.com

September 2, 2010

Jeff Jordan, Supervisory Attorney Office of the General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W., 6th Floor Washington, DC 20436 2010 SEP -3 AM II: 54 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Re: MUR 6324 - Response on behalf of Julius L. Chambers, Treasurer of John Edwards for President

Dear Mr. Jordan:

This response is filed on behalf of Julius L. Chambers, Treasurer of John Edwards for President, named as a respondent in a complaint filed by Mark Thomas. John Edwards for President has also submitted a response to the complaint. Complainant alleges that the Committee arbitrarily attributed a portion of his \$4,600 contribution to his spouse, Lynn Thomas. He bases this allegation on a December 20, 2007 letter sent to him by the Committee, stating that \$2,300 of his contribution had been reattributed to his spouse, whose name was printed as a joint account holder on the check used by Mr. Thomas in making the contribution. This letter is the standard reattribution notification letter sent by committees to comply with 11 C.F.R. §110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(2).

As the attached decumentation shows, the Committee received a check drawn on the Thomas's joint checking account in the amount of \$4,600 and signed by Mr. Thomas. The accompanying donor card was signed by both Mr. Thomas and Ms. Thomas, thus notifying the Committee that the couple intended for this check to be a joint contribution pursuant to 11 C.F.R. §110.1(k)(1). The Committee properly attributed the contribution evenly between Mr. Thomas and his wife, at \$2,300 each.

That section provides that a committee receiving a chests imprinted with the names of more than one individual may attribute the excessive portion of a contribution among the individuals listed, unless a different instruction is "in a separate writing signed by the contributor(s). The regulation requires that the contributor be notified that the realtribution has occurred and that a refund may be sought if the contribution is not intended to be a joint contribution. 11 C.F.R. §110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(2).

Response to MUR 6324 September 2, 2010 Page 2

Due to an inadvertent categorization error, the Committee sent its standardized reattribution matification letter to Mr. Thomas an Denomber 20, 2007, dispite the fact that his spouse had already signed the dance card. This standardized letter notified Mr. Thomas that the Committee attributed \$2,300 to his wife since the contribution was made from a joint checking account, and offered a refund if that was not his intention. Since Ms. Thomas had already signed a written statement that she was a donor, this reattribution letter is under the circumstances essentially voided. Mr. Thomas now states that in response to this letter he requested a refund from the Committee. However, in fact, the request that Mr. Thomas cites in his complaint is dated several months later, May 19, 2008. This is five months after the erroneously sent reattribution letter, more than three months after Semutor Edwards ended its Presidential campaign, anti at these when the Committee had entered its FEC autility phase.

As Committee properly divided the contribution equally between Mr. Thomas and his spouse. (See Attachment A.) Hence, the standardized form letter was never required to be sent under section 110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(2). While the Committee erred in sending this letter to Mr. Thomas, this minor compliance error does not transform a legal contribution into a prohibited contribution that must be refunded. Commission regulations require political committees to make refunds only in situations where a contribution appears to be from a prohibited source (11 C.F.R. §193.3(b)(1)), is designated for an element for which a municipate is not eligible to receive contributions, or is excensive (11 C.F.R. §110.1(b)(3)(i)). Since the contributions armine by Mark and Lynn Thomas are legal, and unition evidence exists with their signatures to document their intent, the Committee is under no obligation to make a refund.

Respondent respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss this complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia A. Fiori

Counsel, John Edwards for President



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Parent, NW Washington, DG 20463

OFFICE OF GENERA

2010 SFP -3 AMIL: S

STATEMENT OF DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL

Please use one form for each Respondent/Entity/Treasurer

FAX (202) 219-3923

MUR # 6324	
NAME OF COUNSEL: Patricia A. Piori	_
FIRM: Utrecht & Phillips, PLIC	_
ADDRESS: 1900 N Street, NM, Suite 500	-
Mushington, DC 20936	
TELEPHONE- OFFICE (202) 778-4000	• :-
FAX (202) 842-5826	
The above-named individual and/or firm is hereby designated as my counsel and is authorized to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission and to act on my behalf before the Commission.	
Date Repondent Grit Granter TRIC(Tressurerrow	ndidata/Dymer)
NAMED RESPONDENT: Julius L. Chambers, Tressurer, John Edwards for President	
MAKING ADDRESS: 1705 DeSales Street, MM, 8th Floor, Mashington, DC 20036 (Please Print)	
TELEPHONE-HOME (919) 300 - 3035	
BUSINESS (914) 360 - 3035	

information is being sought as part of an investigation being conducted by the Federal Glotton Commission and the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.O. § 457g(s)(12)(A) apply. This section prohibits making public any investigation conducted by the Federal Election Considerion without the express written consent of the person under investigation

Rev. 2006